

Bridging the Structural & Functional Gap

Douglas Kay, Cisco, dkay@cisco.com

Acknowledgements & Clarification

The work presented here has been the effort and collaboration of many people over the last couple of years:

➤ Cisco

- Central Test Group
- Product Operations
- Engineering ASIC Development
- DFT Group
- ASIC Suppliers

➤ Title of presentation

- Bridging the gap between standalone component level and embedded system level tests

Outline of presentation

Present
experience and
future prospect
of the gap


Supplier to
System Test
Differences =
gap

Solutions to fill
the gap –
Partnering with
Suppliers to
Improve
Testing

Key
Takeaways
and
Asks of the
Community



What is your experience?



Stringent Vendor Test Screening

No fail @ MFG process and field?

Our experience: continued high DPPM and field returns



**Supplier
standalone
component
tests**



**Board / System
tests (normal
and corner
condition)**

All ASICs meet or exceed DFT and supplier test requirements.


So why do we still have board yields not meeting goal, FCS (First Customer Shipment) delays, field returns?

The size of impact by the gap

- Cost Penalty:
 - High ASIC replacement and FA costs
 - Average \$70K / month (Hard dollar)
 - Unrealized Sale Revenue
 - Case by case (Soft dollar)
 - Usually impacts are more severe than what we realize
- Schedule Penalty:
 - Time to resolve FA
 - Average 18 months to closed ATE test gap
- Average Total cost per ASIC
 - Total = (Average cost per month) X (18 months)
 - Total = (\$70,000) X (18 months) = 1.26 millions

Hard dollar only
Cost per ASIC
\$1.26 Million


What is the prospect of this gap?



ATE to System: Environments




Different test environments and techniques




- 'Structural' scan and BIST tests
- Low noise, 50 ohm test loadboard
- One component, with fast 'one-shot' tests at ASIC spec limits
- Stable controlled temperature
- Very accurate power supplies

- Traffic functional test
- Complex system board design
- Multiple chips interfacing to each other, with long test run times
- Minimal temperature control, less accurate power supplies
- Noisy environment


ASIC Top Fail Categories (MFG data)



Supplier Testing



System Traffic



Potential Answers to Bridge the Gap




ASIC
supplier
Tests



System
Test at CM,
2C Diag Tests


- Increase existing supplier DFT and test requirements
- Earlier engagement and partnership with suppliers on their bring-up, characterization and test
 - particularly for skew lots and correlation to system level performance
- Add functional testing at the ASIC supplier
- Analyze failure modes for un-modeled defects
- Brainstorm new and improved xBIST

Bridging solution



- ❑ At board/system test
 - Enable functional-diag run standalone ASIC level test
 - Can detect marginal parts (e.g. BIST via JTAG)
- ❑ At ATE test
 - Develop on chip functional test (functional IP)
 - Port the functional vector to ATE (access through JTAG or PCIe)

Enablers to the bridging solution



(1) On chip test access mechanism + DFT IPs




(2) Socket system



(4) Vector Conversion Tools




(3) ATE load board




(5) Process Improvement


Enabling functional test @ATE



(a) DFT Micro's protocol aware system



(b) ATE test fixture with PCIe interface



Entire setup
using Verigy Pinscale

Closing the Gap



- Proactively porting Functional testing at suppliers
- Continued improvement on ASIC DFT and test coverage and correlation of supplier to system test
- Improved Memory modeling and testing
- Enhanced board diagnostic data capturing and analysis
- Innovative BIST techniques driven by continued learning and analysis on the gap

Ask of Engineering Community

- ASIC /SOC Requirements
 - Memory BISTs, Logic BIST, JTAG2CPU interface,
 - Functional MBIST (CPU based memory test)
 - Functional LBIST (CPU based logic test)
 - Programmable clock controllers
- Increased correlation of system to supplier test
- Enhanced board diagnostics
- Any new inputs?

Thank you.

